

REMARKS

In the last office action, it was indicated that the prior response was not fully responsive and that the Applicant must elect a species as recited on page 1, paragraph 4, of the office action mailed on June 21, 2005.

The Applicant hereby elects the species shown in Figs. 1-8. The claims which read upon this first elected species are claims 1-3 and also claims 5-17.

A review of the present claims indicates that claims 1, 2 and 5 are generic to both the first and second species. Further, claims 4, 18, and 19 are directed specifically toward the second embodiment shown in Figs. 9-11.


Also, it was noted in the action sent on June 21, 2005 that in the present application as filed there is no description or mention of Figs. 9-11, Figs. 9-11 show the second embodiment which is described in the text beginning on page 19, line 19 and continuing on through page 23 down to line 13.

In this response, the specification of the application is amended to include figure descriptions for Figs. 9-11.

If there is any matter which could be expedited by consultation with the Applicant's attorney, such would be welcome. The Applicant's undersigned attorney can normally be reached at the telephone number set forth below.

Signed at Bellingham, County of Whatcom, State of Washington this August 26, 2005.

Respectfully submitted,
JIM HARKEY,

By 
Robert Hughes, Reg. No. 19,304
Hughes Law Firm, PLLC
Pacific Meridian Plaza
4164 Meridian Street, Suite 302
Bellingham, WA 98226
(360) 647-1296
Fax (360) 671-2489